T2 2021 MIS771 Assignment 2 Rubric

Course: MIS771 - Descriptive Analytics And Visualisation

Analysis (max 35%)	Not attempted	Needs Improvement	Satisfactory	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Criterion Score
Tasks 2 & 3 GLO1 GLO5 15 Marks	O points Does not use any appropriate bivariate exploratory data analysis tool. Either inappropriate predictive model is developed and/or analysis lacks All steps of modebuilding process missing. Interaction analysis is missing. (0.0 - 5.9)	6 points Use irrelevant or inappropriate bivariate analysis tool. Relevant IVs are included in the predictive model, BUT some steps of model-building process missing. Interaction analysis is incorrect. (6 - 7.4)	7.5 points Use appropriate bivariate analysis tool to identify IVs, but there are errors in the analysis. A predictive model is developed with All model-building steps included, BUT the final model is incorrect and/or there are many errors in the analysis. Analysis of interaction effects is presented BUT there are many errors. (7.5 - 8.9)	9 points Appropriate bivariate analysis tool is used, but not all relevant IVs are identified. An appropriate predictive model is developed with All model-building steps presented BUT there are minor errors in the analysis. Interaction analysis is done correctly BUT wrong visualisation technique is used. (9 - 10.4)	All relevant IVs are identified using proper bivariate analysis technique, but minor issues noted. The final model includes those IVs that have predictive power with All steps in model-building process clearly presented. Interaction analysis is presented accurately with proper visualisation technique BUT with minor errors. (10.5 - 11.9)	Skillful and comprehensive analysis of bivariate relationships is presented and all relevant IVs are identified. Model-building process is presented in logical/comprehen sive manner AND the final model is correct. Masterful analysis of interaction effects supplemented by a correct visualisation.	/ 15

Analysis (max 35%)	Not attempted	Needs Improvement	Satisfactory	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Criterion Score
Task 4 GLO1 GLO5 15 Marks	O points Either inappropriate predictive model is developed and/or analysis lacks. All steps of model-building process missing. Predicted probabilities are not calculated and/or a visualisation is missing. (0.0 - 5.9)	6 points Relevant IVs are included in the predictive model, BUT some steps of model-building process missing. Not All Predicted probabilities are calculated and/or a visualisation is missing. (6.0 - 7.4)	7.5 points A predictive model is developed with all model-building steps included, BUT the final model is incorrect and/or there are many errors in the analysis. All predicted probabilities are calculated and a visualisation is presented BUT there are many errors in the analysis. (7,5 - 8.9)	9 points An appropriate predictive model is developed with all model-building steps presented BUT there are minor errors in the analysis. All predicted probabilities are calculated and a visualisation is presented BUT there are minor errors in the analysis. (9 - 10.4)	10.5 points The final model includes those IVs that have predictive power with All steps in the model-building process clearly presented. All predicted probabilities are calculated correctly and a proper visualisation is presented. (10.5 - 11.9)	The model-building process is presented in logical/comprehensi ve manner AND the final model is correct. A skilful and comprehensive analysis of predicted probabilities is presented along with a well-structured visualisation.	/ 15
Task 5 GLO1 GLO5 10 Marks	1.5 points Does not use any appropriate timeseries techniques. (0.0 - 2.9)	4 points Uses irrelevant or inappropriate techniques to analyse the timeseries and/or there are many errors in the analysis. (3.0 - 4.9)	5.5 points A relevant timeseries model is developed and but there are many errors in the analysis. (5.0 - 5.9)	6.5 points A relevant timeseries model is developed and but there are minor errors in the analysis. (6.0 - 6.9)	7.5 points Time-series model is developed correctly and relevant measure(s) for evaluating the model quality is presented. (7.0 - 7.9)	10 points Time-series model developed correctly and presented in a clear and logical fashion including relevant visualisations. (8.0 - 10)	/ 10
Interpretation	Not attempted	Needs Improvement	Satisfactory	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Criterion Score

/24/2021		Preview Rubric: T2 20	21 MIS771 Assignment 2 Rubric - MIS771 - Descriptive Analytics And Visualisation - CloudDeakin					
Interpretation (max 60%)	Not attempted	Needs Improvement	Satisfactory	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Criterion Score	
Task 6 GLO1 GLO2 45 Marks	O points Does not communicate any of the main findings of the analysis in an accurate or meaningful way. (0.0 - 17.9)	18 points Interpretation and communication of findings is at a basic level or does not adequately explain the main findings of the analysis. (18 - 22.4)	22.5 points Explains the main findings of the analysis accurately and enables reader to draw some reasonable conclusions. (22.5 - 26.9)	27 points Provides an accurate description of the most - BUT NOT ALL - important features of the analysis, with appropriate conclusions. (27 - 31.4)	31.5 points Provides very detailed and accurate descriptions of the most important features of the analysis. (31.5 - 35.9)	Provides an outstanding description and conclusion of All relevant analysis/visualisatio n outputs. Interpretation of results are novel and insightful. (36 - 45)	/ 45	
Technical Report - (Communication)	Not attempted	Needs Improvement	Satisfactory	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Criterion Score	

Technical Report - (Communication) (max 5%)	Not attempted	Needs Improvement	Satisfactory	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Criterion Score
Task 6 GLO1 GLO2 5 Marks	O points The technical report is poorly structured and/or few sections missing with a poor use of technical language. (0.0 - 1.4)	1.5 points The technical report is poorly structured. Only few analysis outputs are presented in appendix. Language is difficult to follow with many grammatical errors noted. (1.5 - 2.4)	2.5 points The technical report is well-structured with <u>All</u> required sections included. <u>Most</u> relevant analysis outputs are included in appendix. Communication is NOT clear throughout the report and grammatical errors noted. (2.5 - 2.9)	3 points The technical report is well-structured with <u>All</u> sections included. <u>All</u> relevant analysis outputs are included in appendix. Communication is clear with NO grammatical errors noted. (3.0 - 3.4)	3.5 points The technical reports on par with a professional report. All relevant analysis outputs are presented in appendix in a logical order. Written communication is clear, easy to follow and has a structure. (3.5 - 3.9)	5 points The technical report is masterfully structured. All relevant analysis outputs are included in appendix. Outputs are visually appealing, and follow a consistent formatting style. Language is truly professional and easy to follow. (4.0 - 5.0)	/ 5

Assignment								
planning and	Not attempted	Needs Improvement	Satisfactory	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Criterion Score	
execution								

Assignment planning and execution	Not attempted	Needs Improvement	Satisfactory	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Criterion Score		
Task 1 GLO6 10	0 points	3 points	5 points	6 points	7 points	10 points	/ 10		
Marks	Takes no responsibility for maintaining accurate evidence of learning achievements from within formal course experiences. (0 - 2.9)	Takes little responsibility for maintaining accurate evidence of learning achievements from within formal course experiences. (3 - 4.9)	Takes responsibility for seeking improved learning and maintaining evidence of learning achievements from within formal course experiences, although there is some inconsistency in application. (5 - 5.9)	Consistently takes responsibility for seeking improved learning and maintaining evidence of learning achievements from within formal course experiences. (6 - 6.9)	Consistently takes responsibility for maintaining accurate and detailed evidence of learning achievements from within and beyond formal course experiences. (7 - 7.9)	Consistently takes responsibility for maintaining accurate and compelling evidence of learning achievements from within and beyond formal course experiences. (8 - 10)			

Total / 100

Overall Score

Fail (N)

0 points minimum

Fail (N)

30 points minimum

Pass (P)

50 points minimum

Credit (C)

60 points minimum

Distinction (D)

70 points minimum

High Distinction (HD)

80 points minimum